

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	٦.
	09/889,327	10/04/2001	Yong Ho Kang	60034-301801	2655	
		90 07/29/2003				
	Paul L Hickman PERKINS COLE LLP 101 JEFFERSON DRIVE MENLO PARK, CA 94025-1114			EXAM	EXAMINER PAK, YONG D	
				PAK, YO		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	7
			•	1652	17	_
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique O	09/889,327	KANG, YONG HO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE And	Yong D Pak	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	luly 2003 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/889,327

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a 371 of PCT/KR00/00026. The amendment filed on July 8, 2003, canceling claims 3-5, has been entered.

Claims 1-2 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-2) in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-2, the mere recitation of the name "VHb-DAAO" is insufficient to convey with clarity that which applicant sees as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,327

Art Unit: 1652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khang et al. in view of Huston et al.

Khang et al. (cited on previous PTO-892) teach co-expression of a D-amino acid oxidase (DAO) and Vitreoscilla hemoglobin (VHb) in *Escherichia coli* (page 43). A vector comprising both genes was constructed so that VHb may aid in dissolving the oxygen-limitation problem in immobilizing the DAAO enzyme. It is well known in the art that VHb can be applied to enhance protein production levels (Frey et al., form PTO-892) and that VHb in E. coli is introduced to E. coli to improve oxygen utilization by the cells, to obviate the problem of oxygen limitation in large-scale bioreactor cultivations in recombinant protein productions.

The difference between the reference of Khang et al. and the instant invention is that the reference of Khang et al. does not teach a fusion protein comprising the DAAO and VHb proteins.

Huston et al. (cited on previous form PTO-892) teach how to make fusion proteins.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to make a fusion protein comprising a DAAO

Application/Control Number: 09/889,327

Art Unit: 1652

and VHb proteins. The motivation of making a fusion protein is to facilitate coexpression of the two proteins so that VHb may aid in solving the oxygen-limitation

problem in the immobilized DAAO enzyme. One of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success since making fusion proteins are well known in the art.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 703-308-9363. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Yong D. Pak Patent Examiner

July 23, 2003

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1500